



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/548,717	04/13/2000	Katsuya Daimon	472552000100	7198	
25227 7	7590 12/24/2002				
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
1650 TYSONS MCLEAN, VA	S BLVD SUITE 300 A 22102		CHUNDURU, SU	CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	10	
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2002	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/548,717	DAIMON ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leads ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the contex	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr unt of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 December 2002</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		t forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>the</u>		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ınd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	J	/ EFFREY FREDMA PRIMARY EXAMINE	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added claim requires further search and consideration because the new claim limitations are not examined in the previous office action.